Presentation PATRAM 2019 - paper 1290

Thomas BANDE, Marlon HESIUS, Vincent LEBLANC, Guy LOURTIE

INSPECTIONS PROGRAMME OF THE BELGIAN COMPETENT AUTHORITY (FANC) FOR NON-APPROVED AND APPROVED PACKAGE DESIGNS



## Introduction

Regulations for the Safe Transport of Radioactive Material (SSR-6) 2012:

307. The competent authority shall assure compliance with these Regulations.

SSG-26 2012: 307.4. An effective compliance assurance programme should, as a minimum, include measures related to:

- (a) Review and assessment, including the issuance of approval certificates;
- (b) Inspection and enforcement.



## **Before 2019**

### Inspections of FANC's Transport section:

- one-off inspections of shipments
- management system inspections of registered organizations (carriers, handling agents, ...)
- occasional inspections of non-approved package designs
- occasional packaging manufacture inspections
- (reactive inspections)



## **Starting from 2019**

#### New Belgian legislation:

- Royal Decree of 22 October 2017 on the transport of class 7 dangerous goods
- Related FANC regulations (published on 18 May 2018):
  - Chapter 7: Obligations for applicants of package design approvals
  - Chapter 8: Obligations for approvals other than specified in chapter 7
  - Chapter 9: Various obligations for package designs and packagings
  - Chapter 10: External advice
- → Inspections programme is elaborated with regard to package designs



# Inspections programme for package designs

- Updated every 3 years and implemented yearly as an inspection plan
- Following a graded approach, with focus on
  - Risk
  - Use in Belgium
- Input = notification system: clear view on
  - Use in Belgium
  - Complete life cycle (for approved package designs)



## **Notification system**

7	Required Actions								
Design	(non-approved package) Design Notification	Manufacture Notification	Manufacturing Records	1 <sup>st</sup> Use Notification	Serial Number Notification				
Belgian non-approved package design (excepted)	1X per year by designer		Kept available by designer						
Non-Belgian non- approved package design ( <del>excepted</del> )	1X per year by B owner  1X per year by B consignor (if no B owner)  1X per year by B consignee (if no B owner or consignor)								
Belgian approved package design		At least 4 weeks before start, by the designer	Kept available by owner	B owner  B consignor (if loaded in Belgium)  B consignee (if no B owner or consignor)	when: a packaging is commissioned; a packaging is decommissioned; the owner of a packaging changes. by the owner				
Non-Belgian unilaterally approved package design		If DPC: At least 4 weeks before start, by the designer	If DPC: Kept available by B owner	Consignor (notification should contain certificate)	when: a packaging is commissioned; a packaging is decommissioned; the owner of a packaging changes. by the B owner				
Non-Belgian multilaterally approved package design		If DPC: At least 4 weeks before start, by the designer	If DPC: Kept available by B owner	B owner  B consignor (if loaded in Belgium)  B consignee (if no B owner or consignor)	when: a packaging is commissioned; a packaging is decommissioned; the owner of a packaging changes. by the B owner				
Non-Belgian SFRAM design				Consignor (notification should contain certificate)					

B=Belgium/Belgian; DPC=Dual Purpose Cask, loaded and stored >1y in B; SFRAM=Special Form Radioactive Material



## Approved package designs

	Manufacturing (#inspections)		Mass production packagings	<b>Operation</b> (#inspections)			
	Mgmt system	First packaging	Fissile, loaded & stored in B >1y	Other Fissile	Other	First use	First maintenance
Belgian design	1	1	1/3	1/6	1/10	1	1
Non-Belgian design, with Belgian owner			1/6	1/10	1/20	1	1

→ Not all packagings are systematically inspected, but "higher level" compliance assurance (prime responsibility for safety must rest with user – according to SF-1 of IAEA)

## Other designs

- Non-approved package designs (type IP-1, IP-2, IP-3 and A) used in Belgium: 4 safety report reviews/y (for each an inspection 'in the field')
- Other (SpAr, SFRAM...): ad hoc



# **Lessons learned (July 2019)**

- Non-approved package designs (type A) used in Belgium: 2 safety report reviews
  - No fundamental issues for safety
  - Necessary improvements for documentation: description of contents, justifications and the certificate of compliance
  - European PDSR (Package Design Safety Reports)
     Guide is highly recommended
- Approved package designs (starting up...)
  - More transparent Inspections programme
  - Better collaboration with involved stakeholders



## Conclusion

Inspections programme for package designs allows for:

- Continuous improvement concerning para 307 of SSR-6
- Preventive (versus repressive) approach
- Identification and sharing of best practices among all stakeholders
- Better (transparant) communication to the stakeholders and the public



# **Questions?**

#### transport@fanc.fgov.be

